

#### Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

I. An Account by John Eames, F. R.S. of a Differtation, containing Remarks upon the Observations made in France, in order to ascertain the Figure of the Earth, by Mr. Celsius, intituled, De observationibus pro Figura Telluris determinanda, in Gallia habitis, Disquisitio. Auctore Andrea Celsio, in Acad. Upsal. Astronom. Prof. Regio, &c. Upsaliæ, 1738. 4<sup>to.</sup>

HAT the Figure of the Earth is Spheroidical is agreed upon by all: But whether it be an oblong or oblate Spheroid, i.e. whether the Axis be longer or shorter than a Diameter at the Equator, has been for some time a matter of Doubt. Three several Methods have been proposed to determine this Controversy by Experiments; as by the different Lengths of Pendulums vibrating Seconds, in different Latitudes; the Figure of the Earth's Shadow in Lunar Eclipses; and by the actual Measurement of the Lengths of a Degree on the Meridian in different Latitudes.

It is certain, if the Lengths of the Degrees of Latitude decrease as we go from the Equator toward the Poles, then the Axis is greater, and the Figure an oblong Spheroid; but, on the contrary, if these Lengths increase as you remove towards the Poles, the Axis is less than a Diameter at the Equator, and consequently an oblate Spheroid.

Bbb

# [ 372 ]

Mr. Cassini and others, judge the Earth to be of an oblong Spheroidical Figure; and the Observations made in France, if intirely to be depended upon, prove this Hypothesis to be a Matter of Fact. Our late illustrious President, Sir Isaac New Ton, Mr. Huygens, and others, make the Earth to be an oblate Spheroid, higher at the Equator than at the Poles; and this Figure of the Earth is undoubtedly the true one, if the Observations lately made near the Arctic Circle be admitted as certain and exact. So that fince both Sets of Observations have been taken by Persons of known Skill, Dexterity, and Integrity, it is now become absolutely necessary to inquire into this Matter, in order to find out the Occasion of so great a Difference in their Conclusions.

Mr. Celsius, in the Treatise before us, proposes to consider this Matter more closely, and begins with a Defence of the Observations made at Tornea, near the North Polar Circle; and then takes Notice of some things, proper to be considered, relating to the Instruments, Astronomical Observations, and Trigonometrical Operations, performed in France; which, in his Judgment, render the Observations uncertain; at least so far as not to be accurate enough to be depended upon in determining the Matter in Question.

To begin with the Defence of the Observations made at *Tornea*: Perhaps it may not be improper to premise a short Account of them. They were undertaken at the Charge of the King of *France*, by Five skilful Gentlemen; Three of them Members of the *Royal Academy* at *Paris*, who were joined by Mr. Celsus, and the Abbé Authier. The Trigonometrical

## [ 373 ]

Part of the Work was performed near the River of Tornea, whose Direction is the same with the Meridian of Tornea; the Coasts of the Gulph of Bothnia being found very inconvenient for that Purpose. By the favourable Situation of Five Mountains they formed Eight Triangles, which took in Space enough for their Design. All the Five Gentlemen observed, one after another, each Angle of these Triangles, setting them down in writing separately.

They afterwards determined the Distance between Tornea and Mount Kittis, under the same Meridian, by a Basis, measured on the River when frozen over, whose Length was 7406 Toises 5 Feet, by the first Measurement; and when measured again, was barely Four Inches over. This Distance between them

they found to be 15,234 Toises.

The first Part of their Work being thus finished, the next was to find the Difference of Latitude of these two Places: This they did by the Help of a Telescope, fixed to a Sector of Nine Foot, made at London, by the Care and Direction of Mr. George Graham, to whom the Lovers of Astronomy are indebted for the curious and well-contrived Inftruments he has supplied them withal. The Star they observed at Tornea was a Draconis: They repeated their Observations three times, and the greatest Difference between them was but Two Seconds: Removing to Mount Kittis, they took the same Number of Obfervations, of the same Star, without finding more than One Second Difference. The Result was, that the Amplitude of the Arch, in the Heavens, between Tornea and Mount Kittis, (allowing for the Precesfion of the Equinox, and the Time elapsed between Bbb 2

the Two Observations, according to Mr. Bradley's Theory) was 57' Minutes 26 Seconds. Hence the Magnitude of a Degree, on the Earth, intersecting the Polar Circle, was found to be greater than a mean Degree of France 377 Toiles; and to differ 900 Toiles from what it should have been, according to Mr. Cassini's Hypothesis: And if the Correction, according to Mr. Bradley's Theory, were omitted, the Difference would have amounted to above a Thousand Toises: The Consequence of which, say the curious Observers, is, That the Earth is not only flatted towards the Poles, but that it is much more so than Sir Isaac Newton or Monsieur Hurgens thought it. This unexpected Difference being so very great, made them resolve upon a careful as well as new kind of Verification of the Whole. In the first Place, they repeated their Astronomical Observations Three several times, at Tornea and Kittis, with the same Instrument, but on another Star, viz. & Draconis: The Difference of Latitude between the Two Places was found to be the same, within Three Seconds and an half, with the First. They then not only examined the Truth of their Meridian Line, the Exactness of the Sector, in the different Divisions upon the Limb, chiefly in the Two Degrees imployed in obferving a & Draconis, but supposed that, in their Trigonometrical Operations, they had erred in each Triangle, by Twenty Seconds in each of the Two Angles, and Forty Seconds in the Third; and that all these Errors tended to diminish the Length of the Arch; the Calculation, upon this Supposition, gives but 44 1 Toises for the greatest Error that could be committed.

When

## [ 375 ]

When a particular Relation of all these Observations was read before the Royal Academy of Sciences at Paris, and inquired into; the main Exception taken to them was, That the Observers, omitting to make a Proof of the Line of Collination, by means of double Observations, with the Face of their Instrument turned contrary Ways, have thereby not duly ascertained the Truth of their Observations. But this Objection was fully answered by Monsicur Maupertuis, as Mr. Celsus hopes and believes, to the intire Satisfaction of Monsieur Cassini, who made it. He allows M. Cassini had very good Reason to mention this, as a thing proper to be done in Instruments of common Use, for this Purpose, which generally stand in need of such a Method of Verification: But it was not at all necessary in the Instrument used at Tornea and Mount Kittis: The very Make of it was fuch, that no Alteration could easily be made in it, so as to create any perceptible Error in the Observations. The whole Apparatus of the Telescope and Sector is all framed together; the Object-glass and Cross-wires, as well as the Limb, so firmly fixed to the Tube, as not to be diflocated without great Violence. Notwithstanding all this, the utmost Care was taken in transporting it from one Place to another; being placed in a Chest, that the Laplanders, to use his own Words, in illa cista idolum quoddam servari facile sibi persuaderent. He adds, the same Objection may be made to Monsieur Picard's Observations, who does not feem to have used this Precaution, as Monsieur Cassini himself acknowledges, who nevertheless approves and extols his Observations for their Accuracy: So that those at the Arctic Circle

Circle may be very good, notwithstanding the want of this, supposed necessary, Operation. And indeed, that they were so, sufficiently appears from this Fact. The Difference of Latitude between Tornea and Mount Kittis, sound in September, was observed again in March following, by the Help of the same Star & Draconis, and did not differ from the former above  $3\frac{1}{2}$  Seconds, though the Instrument had been twice carried from one Place to the other. This is a Degree of Exactness not easy to be met with; no not in Monsieur Cassini's Observations, made on different Stars, which differ sometimes 40 Seconds, in determining the Amplitude of an Arc in the Heavens, though their Instrument was carefully examined in the way above-mentioned.

The Author then proceeds, in his Turn, to inquire into the Accuracy and Certainty of the two Sets of Observations made in the North and South Parts of France, in respect of the Royal Observatory at Paris.

As to the Measures of the Degrees in the Northern Parts of France, between Paris and Dunkirk, he owns they cannot be much out of the way; being in some measure confirmed by Monsieur De la Hire, in the Year 1683. and Monsieur Cassini himself. Yet Mr. Celsius observes, that the Basis on the sandy plain Shore, near Dunkirk, when measured again, differed Three Feet from the former Measurement; which is a much greater Difference than that Mr. Celsius and the other Gentlemen found, in measuring a much longer Line twice over, which was but Four Inches.

As to the Astronomical Observations taken by the Six Foot Sector, whose Limb of 12 Degrees was divided only at every 20 Seconds; it is true, Monsieur

# [ 377 ]

Cassini examined the Instrument several ways, at Paris, after his Return thither: but that a Correction, owing to the Change of Centre, might be safely applied to the Observations at Dunkirk, the Examen of the Centre should also have been taken at Dunkirk; it being uncertain, whether this Alteration or Aberration of the Centre was caused by the Lourney to or from Dunkirk.

The Difference of 41 Seconds between the Observations taken to settle the true Measure of the Arc of the Heavens, seems to be enormous. Perhaps the Stars were not lucid enough to be well observed by the Three Foot Tube; but might they not, for a due Degree of Accuracy, have been viewed through the Nine or Ten Foot Telescope?

Our Author prefers the Observations of 1710. made after the Return to Paris, to those made before; because made at the same time of the Year with those of Dunkirk, and so not standing in need of Mr. Bradley's Correction: Though this Caution. perhaps, may be thought not necessary here, where the Errors of the Observations are greater than the Correction itself. Mr. Celsius remarks farther, if the Difference of Latitude between Dunkirk and Paris be supposed to be Two Degrees 12 Minutes 12 Seconds and an half, which is a Mean between Four others he mentions, the Length of a Degree will amount to but 56,395 Toises. And if the Obfervations at Malvoisine and Amiens, be counted according to Mr. Bradley's Theory, for the Interval of a Month between the Observations, the Length of a Degree will come out to be 56,926 Toises; which is 135 Toises less than the Length of a Degree, found

# [ 378 ]

by measuring the whole Length of France; and 134 less than that of Mr. Picard, so highly approved of by Mr. Cassini, as confirming his own.

The Reflections Mr. Celsius makes upon the Observations, &c. taken in the South Parts of France, shall be referred to another Meeting.

The Continuation of the Remarks made by Mr. Celsius on the Observations taken in France, in order to ascertain the true Figure of the Earth.

R. Celsus having finished his Remarks upon the Observations made in the North Part of France, extending from Paris to Dunkirk, proceeds to examine those taken in the South, from Paris to Collioure, near the Borders of Spain, and the Pyrenean Mountains. By the former, a mean Degree was found to consist of 56,960 Toises, by the latter \$7,097; and consequently the Earth is an oblong Spheroid.

Mr. Celsius, in examining these Observations, which were taken under the Conduct and Direction of the late M. Cassini in 1700, first considers the Structure and Goodness of the Instruments used; then the Accuracy of the Astronomical Observations for finding the Difference of Latitude; and, in the last place, the Trigonometrical Operations for determining the Distances of Places; especially the two Extremes under the same Meridian.

The

### [ 379 ]

The principal Instrument M. Cassini carried with him, was, a Limb of 12 Degrees, whose Radius was indeed 10 Foot, but divided only into Degrees and Minutes; the other Parts were added to it at Perpignan. Here Mr. Celsius observes, that the finding the true Centre of this Limb was and still is a very difficult and troublesome Problem to a good Artist; that no mention is made, whether the Position or Place of this Centre, and the Divisions of the Limb, were ever examined at Paris or Collioure, though the Carriage of the Instrument through so long and rough a Way, could not but make some Alteration in the Place of the Centre.

It is true, the Zenith Distance of Capella, taken by it at Paris, was confirmed to be right by another Instrument; but it cannot be concluded, that the Zenith Distance of the same Star, taken at Collioure by this Instrument, and not confirmed there by another Instrument, must be true also. For the Point of Division, answering to this Distance in the Limb, was not examined; and a Centre wrong placed may by Accident give the true Zenith Distance, viz. when the true and erroneous Centre happen to lie in the same Perpendicular to the Horizon.

The Exceptions taken to the Astronomical Observations for finding the Difference of Latitude between Paris and Collioure, are, in the first place, That though Five Stars were observed at Collioure and Paris, yet One only was made use of, viz. Capella: That the Difference of Latitude by Capella is 6° 18' 57": If Lucida Lyra had been used, the Difference would have been but 6° 17' 7"; but by the Right Shoulder of Auriga, 6° 19' 25": Hence arises the C c c

Uncertainty or Difference of 2' 18" between the greatest and least of their Observations: That the late Mr. Cassini makes the Difference 57" less than Mr. Cassini, who accounts for this Difference from the Observations being taken by an ordinary Instrument; but the Instrument is the same which was used to take the Altitude of the Pole of Amiens, which was very near that found by Mr. Picard.

As to the Trigonometrical Operations for finding the Distance of Places, Mr. Celsus thinks they labour under considerable Uncertainties; not only on the Account of the many Difficulties they met withal, viz. mountainous Countries, want of proper Signals, &c. fo that convenient Triangles could not be formed; but add to all these, several of the Triangles had but Two Angles observed, and some of these Angles too acute; whence, as Mr. Cassini himfelf very justly observes, in his Examination of Snellius and Riccioli's Observations, great Errors may arise. Mr. Picard thinks all Angles less than 20 Degrees ought to be avoided; as also that the Triangles should be contrived so as to have Sides of a due Length, neither too great nor too small: Then follow 16 Triangles, wherein one or more of these Inconveniences are to be found.

It may be said, the Whole of these Observations and Measures of Monsieur Cassini seem to be sufficiently consirmed, if not ascertained; since the principal Base in Roussillon was found, when computed, to differ but Three Toises from the same as it was actually measured; and that, after some due Corrections, it was made to agree with the greatest Exactness. Mr. Celsus replies, Why are we not told

what those Corrections were, that we may see whether they were really necessary or no? Why were they not taken notice of in the Calculations of each Triangle? Besides, the real Length of the Base, or the fundamental Line, in Roussillon, is not fully ascertained, it not being measured more than once; whereas that at Dunkirk and that of Mr. Picard were meafured twice; and there was more Reason for doing fo here than at Dunkirk, on account of the uneven and almost ever changing Shore in Roussillon, from the restless overflowing Sea.

The great Number of the Triangles, joined with the numerous small Errors of the Angles, is another Ground of Uncertainty; for the Errors in the Angles, though small, may make the Distance of the Parallels of the Two extreme Places greater than it ought to be; and yet the principal Sides, that is, those that are made Bases to the following Triangles, continue the This made it necessary to verify the Sides, at least at every second Degree, by measuring the principal Base twice over with due Care; which might have been done, and therefore should have been done, in a Matter of so much Nicety as an Attempt to find the Difference between Two Degrees so near one another, under the same Meridian.

To shew what bad Consequences may arise from small Errors committed in observing the Angles of several Triangles, Mr. Olavus Hiorter, a curious and ingenious Friend of Mr. Celsius, has taken the Pains to form the Triangles of Mr. Cassini between Bourges and Collioure; so that the Distance between their Parallels shall be considerably lessened; and yet the Base in Roussillon, found by Computation, shall not, after due Correction, differ sensibly, if at all, from Ccc 2

the

the same actually measured. In consequence of this, Mr. Celsus concludes with observing, that the Distance between the Royal Observatory and the Perpendicular to the Meridian of Collioure, deduced from the Triangles of Cassini, corrected after Mr. Hiorter's Method, &c. will amount to but 358,980 Toises. This, divided by the mean Difference of their Latitudes, 6° 19' 11", will give 56,803 Toises, for the Length of a Degree, one with another, between Paris and Collioure, which is less than the Length of a mean Degree found by Mr. Picard, and pretty near the Truth: So that the Degrees decrease as you go towards the Equator; and consequently the Earth is higher at the Equator than at the Poles, as Sir Isaac Newton and Mr. Huygens believed.

The Distance of the Parallels of Paris and Collioure by this Method is indeed less than that computed by Mr. Cassini; but this cannot reasonably be complained of, fince these computed Measures of Mr. Cassini seem very capable of being lessened; and it is no more than what Mr. Cassini himself hath done to the Measures published by his Father, which he has shortened by 325. Toises. But however that Matter be, whether this particular Correction of Mr. Cassini's Distance, and, consequently, Length of a mean Degree, be admitted or no, Mr. Čelsus is fully perfuaded, upon the Whole, that he hath made it plain to every unprejudiced Reader, that these Two Sets of Observations in France are not taken with such a Degree of Exactness as to be depended upon, in determining so nice a Matter, in Dispute for 50 Years, as the true Figure of the Earth; which was the thing proposed to be done by them.